

Study	Randomization	Blinding	Accountability	Final score	Quality
Khobragade <i>et al</i> <sup>3</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Ali <i>et al</i> <sup>4</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Baden <i>et al</i> <sup>5</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Bravo <i>et al</i> <sup>44</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Clemens <i>et al</i> <sup>22</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Creech <i>et al</i> <sup>36</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Dai <i>et al</i> <sup>38</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Dunkle <i>et al</i> <sup>32</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Ella <i>et al</i> <sup>26</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Emary <i>et al</i> <sup>23</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Falsey <i>et al</i> <sup>24</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Freneck <i>et al</i> <sup>28</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Hager <i>et al</i> <sup>40</sup> (2022)	2	0	1	3	High
Halperin <i>et al</i> <sup>39</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Heath <i>et al</i> <sup>33</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Kremsner <i>et al</i> <sup>27</sup> (2021)	2	0	1	3	High
Logunov <i>et al</i> <sup>43</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Toledo-Romani <i>et al</i> <sup>42</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Polack <i>et al</i> <sup>29</sup> (2020)	2	0	1	3	High
Sadoff <i>et al</i> <sup>21</sup> (2022)	2	2	1	5	High
Sahlyet <i>al</i> <sup>37</sup> (2021)	2	0	1	3	High
Shinde <i>et al</i> <sup>45</sup> (2021)	2	0	1	3	High
Tanriove <i>et al</i> <sup>41</sup> (2021)	2	2	1	5	High
Thomas <i>et al</i> <sup>31</sup> (2021)	2	0	1	3	High
Voysey <i>et al</i> <sup>25</sup> (2021)	2	0	1	3	High
Walter <i>et al</i> <sup>30</sup> (2021)	2	0	1	3	High

All studies included in the network meta-analysis reported JADAD scores of 3 or higher, indicating that all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were of high quality