

Letter-to-Editor

Prevalence of alcohol & tobacco use & mental health correlation among male coal miners in West Bengal

Sir,

The article by Chakrabarti *et al*¹, on the prevalence of alcohol use disorder and psychiatric comorbidity among coal mine workers, published in a recent issue of the Indian Journal of Medical Research, addresses a crucial public health issue. It highlights the high prevalence of alcohol and tobacco use and associated mental health correlates among male coal miners in West Bengal, India. However, several limitations were observed in the article which may influence the interpretation and generalisability of its results. Dealing with such limitations could make it easier for us to understand the situation more profoundly and allow for the development of better interventions in the discussed context.

An important concern regarding this study is a critical sampling bias that could have originated from the recruitment process. The systematic household-based sampling method included every third or fifth household, as per the workers' availability. This approach may have induced selection bias. Mine workers who were at home during the survey may have systematically been different from those who were away, possibly due to shift work, overtime, or absenteeism tied to substance use or mental health issues². Furthermore, only two mines were selected for this research based on accessibility and convenience. Therefore, the sample of the coal mining population was limited, raising concerns about its representativeness for the entire region³. Another limitation is that the study only focused on male workers in the mining industry and did not include female workers. This mirrored the characteristic that mainly males dominate the workforce for mining activities. However, this excluded substance use and mental health problems among women employees who could be responsible for administrative, supervisory, or support work within the mining sector. Apart from the high risk of substance use and mental health disorders, women also have significant risks of work-related disorders in stress-

stricken jobs and experiences that may be entirely different from those of their male counterparts^{4,5}.

Dependency on self-reported data as a basis for determining both substance use and mental health conditions is another concern regarding the study. Self-reporting tends to under-report or over-report because of social desirability bias or fears of being stigmatised or loss of confidentiality, more so when sensitive issues such as drug use come into the picture⁶. The absence of biological verification methods, such as urine or blood tests, limits the accuracy of the estimates reported. It has been reported that differences exist between self-reported substance use and biological measures, emphasising the need for objective assessments to validate self-reported data⁷.

In itself, a cross-sectional study design brings some inherent pitfalls in determining causality between substance use and the mental health correlates. Since this study has come up with substantial associations between combined alcohol and tobacco use with increased risks of anxiety and depression, it could not differentiate between the causality that substance use affects the mental health status and pre-existing mental health problems lead to an increase in substance use – a factor known as reverse causality⁸. The existence of temporal relationships and causality can only be confirmed through longitudinal studies, further revealing the ways substance use interplays with mental health among coal miners⁹.

Moreover, the mental health evaluation was limited to anxiety and depression using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). Among psychiatric conditions recognised to be prevalent in stressful occupations, such as post-traumatic stress disorder, sleep disorders, and substance-induced psychosis, were not included in the study¹⁰. A more comprehensive mental health questionnaire would give a clearer view of the workers' mental condition and may thus reveal additional areas for intervention.

Another important limitation is that the workplace environmental factors were excluded. The study did not assess any specific occupational stressors, such as job demands, work hours, shift patterns, exposure to hazardous conditions, or safety practices – all of which may relate to substance use and mental health problems^{11,12}. All these factors play a crucial role in developing interventions focused on individual behaviours in the workplace and the use of substances along with mental health conditions related to those settings.

The employees of the Eastern Coalfields Limited (ECL), involved in the process, might had an influence on the readiness of respondents to disclose issues about sensitive matters. The authorities might not be sure about the confidentiality of the survey and could have underreported substance use and symptoms of mental health fearing adverse consequences¹³. Independent data collection and confidentiality emphasis are important in such studies for obtaining accurate and reliable data. Nevertheless, the lack of a control group or comparative data makes it difficult to ascribe the high prevalence of substance use and mental health issues solely to coal mining. A control group comprising of workers not working in any mining operation or miners from other regions could have made comparative analyses possible so that one could identify whether the issues are industry-specific or an extrapolation of broader trends in society¹⁴. Such comparisons would be useful when tailoring interventions appropriately. In addition, the study did not account for other variables like health conditions, socio-economic factors aside from income status, family history of substance use, and support systems. Such variables influence not only substance use but also the outcomes in mental health, and failure to account for them may negatively affect the study's validity¹⁵.

Other critical ethical concerns involve matters of informed consent and confidentiality. Presenting topics such as drug abuse and mental illness to a place that may jeopardise one's job security could be a problem for the individuals' willingness to participate¹⁶. Participation might have been coerced, and the truth never revealed. Indeed, such studies should, for example, respect informed consent as being as non-coercive as possible and maintain confidentiality in each detail to protect the rights and well-being of the participants. The spatial and temporal scope of the study has further restricted the generality of the results. Being held only in two mines within the Asansol-Raniganj area, the results

may not represent other mining regions with other environmental, cultural, or occupational conditions¹⁷. In addition, data collection took more than two years; thus, during this period, external factors like economic change, policy shift, or social events might have played a role in altering substance use patterns and mental health and could not have been controlled by the present analysis¹⁸.

The relevance, and therefore validity, of the assessment tools themselves is also relevant. Even though the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) and HADS are validated tools, their appropriateness and usability in the specific cultural context of Indian coal miners could be perceived as different. These cultural attitudes toward substance use and mental health might differ in how questions are understood and answered, thereby impacting the accuracy of these assessments¹⁹. The adaptation and validation of the tools for the target population to be studied would strengthen the reliability of the findings. Lastly, while screening for high-risk substance use and mental health disorders, participants were not offered on-the-spot support, referrals, or interventions. This has ethical implications for those who take the responsibility of providing support to those interviewed²⁰. These limitations provide future research scope into coal miners' substance use, mental health, and occupational factors to a great extent. Expanding knowledge in these areas is important for effective policy development and interventions targeted at improving the health and well-being of such vulnerable populations.

Financial support & sponsorship: None.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Assisted Technology for manuscript preparation: The authors confirm that there was no use of AI-assisted technology for assisting in the writing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

**Shampa Ghosh^{1,2,3,#}, Kannan Badri Narayanan^{5,#},
Krishna Kumar Singh⁴ & Jitendra Kumar
Sinha^{1,2,3,*}**

Divisions of ¹Discovery and Basic Neurosciences, ²Neurotechnology, & ³Cognition and Translational Sciences, GloNeuro, Noida, ⁴Symbiosis Centre for Information Technology, Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune, India, & ⁵School of Chemical

Engineering, Yeungnam University,
Gyeongsang, Republic of Korea

#Equal contribution

*For correspondence:

jitendrakumarsinha@gmail.com

Received October 24, 2024; Accepted January 31, 2025;
Ahead of print April 02, 2025; Published ****, 2025

References

- Chakrabarti A, Saha I, Kalita M, Bhattacharya D, Haque B, Nagraj A, *et al*. High prevalence of alcohol use disorder & psychiatric comorbidity among coal mine workers: Observations from a cross-sectional study in West Bengal. *Indian J Med Res* 2024; 160 : 95-101.
- Sedgwick P, Greenwood N. Understanding the Hawthorne effect. *BMJ* 2015; 351 : h4672.
- Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL. *Modern Epidemiology*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
- Snipes DJ, Benotsch EG. High-risk cocktails and high-risk sex: Examining the relation between alcohol mixed with energy drinks and sexual risk taking in college students. *Addict Behav* 2013, 38 : 1418-23.
- Seedat S, Scott KM, Angermeyer MC, Berglund P, Bromet EJ, Brugha TS, *et al*. Cross-national associations between gender and mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2009; 66 : 785-95.
- Tourangeau R, Yan T. Sensitive questions in surveys. *Psychol Bull* 2007; 133 : 859-83.
- Del Boca FK, Darkes J. The validity of self-reports of alcohol consumption: State of the science and challenges for research. *Addiction* 2003; 98 Suppl 2 : 1-12.
- Maxwell SE, Cole DA. Bias in cross-sectional analyses of longitudinal mediation. *Psychol Methods* 2007; 12 : 23-44.
- Wang X, Cheng Z. Cross-sectional studies: Strengths, weaknesses, and recommendations. *Chest* 2020; 158 : S65-71.
- Osgood JM, Finan PH, Hinman SJ, So CJ, Quartana PJ. Combat exposure, post-traumatic stress symptoms, and health-related behaviors: The role of sleep continuity and duration. *Sleep* 2019; 42 : zsy257.
- Odes R, Chapman S, Harrison R, Ackerman S, Hong O. Frequency of violence towards healthcare workers in the United States' inpatient psychiatric hospitals: A systematic review of literature. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 2021; 30 : 27-46.
- Bosma H, Marmot MG, Hemingway H, Nicholson AC, Brunner E, Stansfeld SA. Low job control and risk of coronary heart disease in whitehall II (prospective cohort) study. *BMJ* 1997; 314 : 558-65.
- Edwards AL. *The social desirability variable in personality assessment and research*. New York: Dryden Press; 1957.
- Shah D. Healthy worker effect phenomenon. *Indian J Occup Environ Med* 2009; 13 : 77-9.
- Cooper ML, Russell M, Skinner JB, Frone MR, Mudar P. Stress and alcohol use: Moderating effects of gender, coping, and alcohol expectancies. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1992; 101 : 139-52.
- Crowne DP, Marlowe D. A new scale of social desirability independent of psychopathology. *J Consult Psychol* 1960; 24 : 349-54.
- Zeng Z, Guo Y, Lu L, Han L, Chen W, Ling L. Mental health status and work environment among workers in small- and medium-sized enterprises in Guangdong, China - a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14 : 1162.
- Yang L, Wang X, Zhu J, Qin Z. Influencing factors, formation mechanism, and pre-control methods of coal miners' unsafe behavior: A systematic literature review. *Front Public Health* 2022; 10 : 792015.
- Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000; 25 : 3186-91.
- O'Cathain A, Hodinott P, Lewin S, Thomas KJ, Young B, *et al*. Maximising the impact of qualitative research in feasibility studies for randomised controlled trials: Guidance for researchers. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2015; 1 : 32.