



Correspondence

Observations on article on healthcare workers & SARS-CoV-2 infection

Sir,

I read with interest the recently published article by Chatterjee and colleagues¹. I have the following points to make:

1. The authors have calculated sample size and stated that "484 cases would be required to detect an odds ratio of 1.50 with 80 per cent power at five per cent significance level". We observed from the Table that they ended up with only 378 cases. This was a serious deviation from the protocol.
2. Reference 30 cited by the authors in their article² has already been retracted by the journal. A retracted article should not have been cited.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

A.P. Kulkarni

Department of Community Medicine,
Government Medical College,
Aurangabad 431 001, Maharashtra, India

**For correspondence:*
drapkulkarni@gmail.com

Received July 17, 2020

References

1. Chatterjee P, Anand T, Singh KJ, Rasaily R, Singh R, Das S, *et al.* Healthcare workers & SARS-CoV-2 infection in India: A case-control investigation in the time of COVID-19. *Indian J Med Res* 2020; 151 : 459-67.
2. Mehra MR, Desai SS, Ruschitzka F, Patel AN. Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: A multinational registry analysis. *Lancet* 2020; 395 : 1820.