Indian J Med Res 160, December 2024, pp 578-591

DOI: 10.25259/IJMR_388_2024

Original Article

Assessing second-hand smoke exposure among non-smoking youth in India: Insights from GATS I & II

Mansi Chopra¹, Amrita Gupta³, Bhavya Sharma¹, Narendra Kakade³ & Monika Arora^{1,2}

¹Health Related Information Dissemination Amongst Youth (HRIDAY), ²Department of Health Promotion, Public Health Foundation of India, New Delhi, & ³School of Health Systems Studies, Tata Institute of Social Sciences (TISS), Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Received April 25, 2024; Accepted December 10, 2024; Ahead of print January 14, 2025; Published January 22, 2025

Background & objectives: This study aimed to compare the prevalence and identify the patterns of second-hand smoke (SHS) exposure among non-smoking Indian youth (ages 15-24 and 25-29 yr) in various settings-homes, workplaces, public places, and a combination of these locations-based on sociodemographic factors and knowledge about the health implications of smoking. The purpose of the study was to identify the factors influencing SHS exposure in India between the Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) I and II.

Methods: Secondary data analysis was conducted utilising GATS I and II data to analyse the prevalence and patterns of SHS exposure at home, workplace, public place and all the places combined among non-smoking youths aged 15-29 yr. The study sample included 20,604 and 20,927 individuals for GATS I and II, respectively. The predictor variables included the sociodemographic variables and the knowledge regarding the ill effects of smoking. Weighted prevalence was calculated using survey weights, and multivariate logistic regression analyses were utilised to examine the adjusted relationships between SHS exposure and predictors.

Results: Between GATS I and II, there was a notable decline in the weighted prevalence of SHS exposure at home and in public places among non-smoking youth aged 15-29 yr. The study also indicates an increase in workplace SHS exposure between the two rounds of GATS among non-smoking youth who had knowledge about the ill effects of SHS exposure on health. Multivariate regression analyses revealed significant associations between SHS exposure and gender, education level, employment status, place of residence, and wealth index, affecting exposure in one or more locations.

Interpretation & conclusions: Our secondary analysis of GATS data from 2009-10 to 2016-17 reveals a decline in SHS exposure at home and public places but an increase in workplaces among non-smoking youth. Factors like female gender, higher education, and wealth were linked to lower SHS exposure, while rural residence and self-employment indicated higher risks. These findings underscore the need for targeted, community-based interventions and stricter enforcement of anti-tobacco laws to protect non-smoking youth in India.

Key words Non-smoking youth - pattern - policies - prevalence - second-hand smoking - workplace exposure

Youths represent a country's future and serve as the primary drivers of change and progress in any

nation. India is home to one-fifth of the global youth population¹. Evidence suggests an increase in tobacco use among youths. More than eight million deaths are caused globally by tobacco consumption in any form smoked, smokeless, and second-hand smoke (SHS) exposure, with ~15 per cent of the adult population consuming tobacco daily^{2,3}. In India, ~28.6 per cent of adults use tobacco4, while a little over one-third of the non-smokers are exposed to SHS5. The Global Youth Tobacco Survey-4 (2019)⁶ reported that 8.5 per cent of adolescents aged 13-15 used tobacco, while 12 per cent faced SHS exposure at home, 22.2 per cent in enclosed public places, and 28.5 per cent in outdoor areas in the last seven days6. While tobacco use remains a significant health concern⁷, an even greater concern is prolonged SHS exposure, which is as damaging as chronic active smoking8. SHS is a mix of smoke exhaled by smokers and smoke from burning tobacco products like cigarettes, bidis, and water pipes. It contains hundreds of toxins and causes health effects similar to those of active smoking⁹. SHS exposure contributes significantly to morbidity and mortality, causing around 1.2 million premature deaths globally, and is a major risk factor for respiratory ailments, lung cancer, and cardiovascular complications¹⁰⁻¹². Along with physical health problems, SHS exposure impacts psychological health, affecting behavior, sleep, and mood, and is linked to anxiety, agitation, and depression in both youths and adults¹³.

Indoor spaces, including homes, workplaces, public transport, and locations such as parks, eateries, and restaurants, are common sites for SHS exposure¹⁴. A significant number of non-smokers, particularly women and children, are exposed to SHS at home¹⁵. The Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) II⁵ reports that 30 per cent of adults in India face SHS exposure at work and 23 per cent in public places.

The workplace remains a substantial source of SHS exposure¹⁶. Working adults spend a major portion of their time at the workplace, making it a primary source of SHS exposure for non-smokers¹⁷. In a German study¹⁸, over 40 per cent of non-smokers reported being exposed to SHS at work. Also, both GATS I and GATS II showed five times higher odds of SHS exposure at home and three times higher odds at the workplace among non-smoking youths aged 15-24 yr¹⁹. In Bangladesh, China, and Egypt, over 60 per cent of adults working indoors have been exposed to SHS at their workplace²⁰.

Organization The World Health (WHO) Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) mandates smoke-free environments to protect nonsmokers, especially minors, from SHS globally. In 2004, India enacted the Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products Act (COTPA) to curb tobacco use and SHS exposure²¹. However, several anomalies in the COTPA are consequent with no major reduction in the tobacco use prevalence or SHS exposure largely due to failure to comply with the Act's requirements. indicating potential gaps in the effectiveness of its enforcement. Strengthening COTPA compliance and evaluating its implementation are vital to advancing tobacco regulation and reducing SHS exposure. The Government of India struggles with unclear administrative guidelines, leading to inconsistent enforcement due to State-level implementation variations. Additionally, limited research on SHS exposure among non-smoking youth (15-29 yr) highlights gaps in understanding its prevalence and effects. Early SHS exposure and tobacco use initiation increase the risk of asthma and reduce lung function, even in adolescence²². Under the given background, the study examines SHS exposure among non-smoking youth (ages 15-24 and 25-29 yr) across settings-homes, workplaces, public areas, and combinations thereof. It also explores the influence of sociodemographics and health risk awareness on SHS exposure and identifies factors driving changes between GATS I and II in India. In this study, the selected age groups (15-24; 25-29 yr) encompass both school-going adolescents, who may be at risk of SHS exposure, particularly at home due to parental or family tobacco use, and collegegoing adolescents and young adults transitioning into professional life. GATS II reports that exposure to SHS is highest in the 15-24 yr age group (27.1%), followed by the 25-44 yr age group (26.8%)²³. The two age groups display unique characteristics, resulting in varying levels and patterns of SHS exposure. They are categorised separately to reflect the distinct exposure dynamics during critical life stages: adolescence, often associated with the initiation of tobacco use, and early adulthood, where exposure patterns may differ.

Materials & Methods

The study was conducted at Health Related Information Dissemination Amongst Youth (HRIDAY), New Delhi, in collaboration with the Department of Health Promotion, Public Health Foundation of India, New Delhi, and the School of Health Systems Studies, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

Data source: GATS is a cross-sectional, nationally representative household survey covering all the States of India. GATS is undertaken to measure and monitor the prevalence of tobacco use, exposure to SHS, as well as the impact of tobacco control measures across several sociodemographic variables. The first and second rounds of GATS were carried out in 2009-10 and 2016-17, respectively. Detailed descriptions of the methodology followed in implementing GATS I and II have been published elsewhere^{23,24}.

Analytical sample: A total of 12,523 (15-24 yr) and 8,081 (25-29 yr) non-smoking youths from GATS I and 12,668 (15-24 yr) and 8,259 (25-29 yr) non-smoking youths from GATS II formed the analytical sample of this study. All participants included in the analytical sample were individuals living in their usual homes at the time of the survey.

Outcome variables: SHS exposure at home, workplace, public places, and all three places were the outcome variables in this study. The following items of the questionnaire assessed the exposure to SHS:

- (i) How often does anyone smoke inside your home?
- (ii) During the past 30 days, did anyone smoke in indoor areas where you work?

Exposure to SHS in public places was assessed for non-smoking youths who reported people smoking in public places (restaurants, eateries, nightclubs, cinema halls, government buildings, private offices) in the past 30 days at least once and a combination of exposure to SHS at all three places (home, workplace, and any public place) was computed by estimating the number of non-smokers exposed to SHS at home, workplace, and any public places in the last 30 days prior to the survey.

Explanatory variables: The respondents' age (15-24 yr, 25-29 yr), gender (male, female), level of education (no formal education, less than primary, primary but less than secondary, secondary, and above), employment status (government/private employee, self-employed, student, unemployed), place of residence (urban, rural), and wealth index (poor, middle, rich) were used as the predictors of exposure to SHS. In addition, the information on respondents' knowledge of smoking

causing health hazards such as stroke, heart attack, and lung cancer and knowledge that SHS exposure causes serious illness in adults and children were also utilised.

Data analysis: The significance of the change in the prevalence between the two samples (GATS I and II) was tested using the test for proportion since the samples from GATS I and II were cross-sectional in nature. Additionally, multivariate logistic regression analyses were employed to examine the adjusted relationship between SHS exposure at three places separately, as well as together with sociodemographics characteristics and knowledge-related parameters on the harmful effects of tobacco. Each outcome was modelled relative to the non-exposure to SHS at different places to report the adjusted prevalence ratios. Separate models were run for each SHS exposure setting (home, workplace, public places, and all three combined) for GATS I and II. The models included gender, education, employment status, place of residence, wealth index, and knowledge variables as covariates. The analysis was conducted using the 'svy' commands in Stata version 13 (StataCorp 2013, Texas, USA). All the analyses were appropriately weighted and adjusted for the complex GATS I and II survey design. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

For estimating non-smoking youths who were exposed to SHS exposure at home, all 12,523 (15-24 yr), 8,081 (25-29 yr) from GATS I and 12,668 (15-24 yr), 8,259 (25-29 yr) from GATS II were included. For estimating SHS exposure prevalence at their places of work (both indoor and outdoor), 1,779 (15-24 yr), 1,765 (25-29 yr) and 1,778 (15-24 yr), 1,813 (25-29 yr) were included from GATS I and II, respectively. Out of the total sample, 9,035 (15-24 yr), 5,673 (25-29 yr) from GATS I and 8,970 (15-24 yr), 5,716 (25-29 yr) from GATS II were included to estimate the prevalence of SHS exposure of non-smoking youths visiting any public places and 1,492 (15-24 yr), 1,538 (25-29 yr) and 1,449 (15-24 yr), 1,532 (25-29 yr) from GATS I and II, respectively, were included for estimating prevalence in non-smoking youths exposed to SHS at all three places in the past month.

GATS I included 33,767 males and 35,529 females, with 41,825 from rural and 27,471 from urban areas. In the 15-24 age group, 42 per cent were males, 57 per cent were females, and 60 per cent were from rural areas. In the 25-29 age group, 35 per cent were males

and 64.7 per cent were females, with a similar ruralurban distribution. GATS II data showed 33,772 males and 40,265 females, with 47,549 from rural and 26,488 from urban areas. In the 15-24 age group, 42 per cent were males, 57 per cent were females, with 65.4 per cent in rural areas. The 25-29 age group had 35 per cent males, 64.2 per cent females, with 64.7 per cent in rural areas.

Prevalence of SHS exposure at home, workplace, public places, and all three places among non-smoking youths in India: The prevalence of exposure to SHS at home, workplace, public places, and a combination of all three places among non-smoking youth (15-24 yr; 25-29 yr) in India across sociodemographic variables and knowledge-related parameters on adverse effects of smoking among adults and children are presented in table I and II, respectively. From GATS I to II, there was a notable decline in the prevalence of SHS exposure at home (15-24: 50 vs. 37.6%; 25-29: 49.2 vs. 35%) and in public places (15-24: 44 vs. 37.8%; 25 29: 42.1 vs. 36.8%) among non-smoking youths aged 15-29 yr. The overall prevalence of SHS exposure among non-smoking youths at all three places (15-24: 9 vs. 8.6%; 25-29: 11 vs. 7%) reduced between GATS I and II. There was a significant decrease in SHS exposure at home and in public places between GATS I and II among non-smoking youths (ages 15-24 and 25-29), influenced by factors such as gender, education level, employment status, place of residence, wealth index, and awareness about adverse effects of smoking. However, SHS exposure in the workplace increased for most variables considered (ages 15-24 and 25-29), though the increases were not statistically significant.

GATS II data revealed SHS exposure among non-smoking youths (15-24 vs. 25-29 yr) was: at home (37.6 vs. 35%), workplace (26.8 vs. 28.1%), and in public places (37.8 vs. 36.8%). Among youths aged 15-24 yr, SHS exposure at home and in public places was significantly higher, influenced by gender, education, employment, residence, wealth, and awareness of smoking's harms. Workplace exposure was higher in the 25-29 age group, though results were not significant. Additionally, combined SHS exposure across all three settings was greater in the 15-24 age group (8.6 vs. 7%).

Factors affecting exposure to second-hand smoking: Table III and IV compare the risk factors of SHS exposure at home, workplace, public places, and in all these three places between GATS I and GATS II among youths aged 15-24 and 25-29 yr. SHS exposure was more likely at home than in public places among females

in GATS I and II, particularly in the 25-29 age group. Exposure at home, workplaces, and all three locations decreased significantly with higher education levels, consistent across both age groups. Self-employed, unemployed, or student respondents faced higher SHS exposure at home than government/private employees. Rural residents had significantly higher SHS exposure at home and in public in both rounds and age groups. Among respondents in the rich wealth index, SHS exposure at home was lower in both age groups.

Discussion

The study presents a secondary analysis of the nationally representative GATS I and II datasets (2009-10 and 2016-17)^{23,24}, examining changes in SHS exposure among non-smoking youths (ages 15-24 and 25-29 yr) across home, workplace, and public places. Findings indicate decreased SHS exposure at home and in public places from GATS I to GATS II. However, exposure at the workplace increased, though the change was not statistically significant. The study also highlights that lower awareness of SHS's harmful health effects contributed to higher workplace exposure²⁵.

A significantly higher likelihood of SHS exposure at home among females was observed in both rounds of the survey: self-employed (at home and workplace) and unemployed or students (at home) as per GATS I. A previous study²⁶ in India showed similar findings of higher SHS exposure among females at home and higher SHS exposure among males at the workplace and/or in public places. In India, socio-cultural norms link this risk to men's role as primary earners and women's reluctance to challenge their husbands' smoking to maintain family harmony. With limited spaces to avoid SHS exposure at home, this poses a significant concern for women²⁷⁻²⁸. In India, males experience higher SHS exposure at workplaces (indoors) and public places due to their outgoing nature and more prevalent work culture compared to females²⁹.

Despite smoke-free regulations in many countries, a significant population remains exposed to SHS at home, mainly due to high male smoking rates in many lower-middle income countries (LMICs), which disproportionately expose women to SHS³⁰⁻³². In addition, private homes are outside the legal reach of law, and stringent enforcement of regulations at home is difficult for any authority²⁶. However, a few studies have shown that implementing smoke-free regulations in both workplaces and public places leads to a decrease in the prevalence of smoking within homes³³⁻³⁶. A study³⁷ reported higher SHS exposure among self-employed individuals

Table I. Prev	alence of exp	Table I. Prevalence of exposure to SHS at home, workplace, public places, and a combination of three places among non-smoking youth (15-24 yr) in India	at home, w	orkplace, pub	lic places, an	d a combina	tion of three	places among	g non-smoki	ing youth (15-	.24 yr) in Ind	ia
	CATGI	Home	ı	CATC I	Workplace	t	TOTAS.	Public Places	ı	AI GATGI	All three Places	ı
	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)
India (N)	12,523	12,668		1,779	1,778		9,035	8,970		1,492	1,449	
Gender												
Male	48.89 (46.22, 51.56)	34.92 (32.75, 37.09)	11.37 (<0.001)	28.13 (24.13, 32.12)	29.72 (25.55, 33.9)	0.63 (0.52)	51.27 (48.64, 53.91)	44.99 (42.53, 47.45)	8.61 (<0.001)	10.12 (7.31, 12.92)	10.19 (6.83, 13.56)	1.13 (0.256)
Female	51.13 (48.63, 53.64)	40.31 (37.92, 42.70)	9.06 (<0.001)	13.25 (8.75, 17.76)	15.11 (9.77, 20.45)	1.35 (0.17)	34.19 (31.53, 36.85)	27.80 (25.52, 30.07)	8.13 (<0.001)	3.91 (0.97, 6.86)	1.31 (0.23, 2.38)	0.70 (0.507)
Level of education												
No formal education	64.87 (60.93, 68.82)	53.50 (47.16, 59.85)	5.00 (<0.001)	42.6 (24.84, 60.36)	36.45 (18.52, 54.38)	1.57 (0.116)	42.51 (35.89, 49.13)	39.23 (31.71, 46.76)	1.01 (0.092)	13.25 (1.07, 25.44)	25.08 (3.82, 46.33)	0.75 (0.451)
Less than primary	57.30 (52.51, 62.08)	45.26 (39.31, 51.2)	2.68 (0.007)	37.59 (24.86, 50.32)	40.52 (26.21, 54.83)	0.38 (0.701)	46.43 (40.37, 52.48)	36.08 (28.76, 43.39)	1.47 (0.073)	7.92 (0.15, 15.69)	10.74 (1.30, 20.17)	0.34 (0.729)
Primary but less than secondary	55.99 (53.17, 58.81)	47.12 (44.5, 49.73)	5.35 (<0.001)	28.53 (22.84, 34.21)	34.56 (28.06, 41.06)	0.70 (0.481)	44.11 (41.02, 47.20)	37.11 (34.03, 40.19)	6.18 (<0.001)	11.85 (7.33, 16.36)	13.47 (7.93, 19.02)	0.26 (0.793)
Secondary and above	37.81 (35.18, 40.43)	29.4 (27.63, 31.17)	8.62 (<0.001)	18.49 (14.46, 22.51)	20.11 (16.42, 23.8)	1.01 (0.314)	43.69 (41.17, 46.22)	38.10 (35.92, 40.28)	9.23 (<0.001)	6.59 (3.71, 9.47)	4.15 (1.78, 6.52)	1.44 (0.064)
Employment												
Govt./Pvt. employees	49.73 (45.93, 53.52)	38.93 (35.75, 42.12)	3.14 (0.001)	26.51 (21.49, 31.53)	30.67 (25.83, 35.51)	1.27 (0.063)	48.78 (44.56, 53.00)	42.01 (38.39, 45.63)	1.50 (0.061)	11.10 (7.34, 14.87)	10.92 (6.64, 15.19)	1.02 (0.305)
Self employed	56.74 (53.08, 60.41)	41.47 (37.01, 45.93)	5.75 (<0.001)	31.68 (25.02, 38.35)	27.32 (19.04, 35.6)	1.45 (0.147)	49.41 (44.82, 54.00)	42.98 (37.45, 48.52)	1.12 (0.081)	9.96 (5.09, 14.82)	6.52 (1.87, 11.17)	1.69 (0.097)
Student	44.14 (41.31, 46.97)	31.63 (29.55, 33.71)	9.64 (<0.001)	13.57 (8.56, 18.58)	16.78 (10.42, 23.14)	0.25 (0.798)	44.65 (41.87, 47.42)	39.18 (36.63, 41.72)	9.18 (<0.001)	3.20 (0.96, 5.45)	5.09 (0.25, 9.92)	0.25 (0.797)
Unemployed	53.8 (50.88, 56.73)	44.68 (41.61, 47.75)	6.95 (<0.001)	25.82 (11.25, 40.39)	31.32 (17.52, 45.13)	1.21 (0.223)	34.65 (31.10, 38.27)	28.13 (25.19, 31.06)	4.41 (<0.001)	7.11 (0.20, 14.03)	5.72 (-2.27, 13.71)	1.07 (0.284)
												Contd

		Home			Workplace		Р	Public Places		Al	All three Places	
	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATS I	GATS II	Z
	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)
Place of residence												
Urban	37.67 (35.06, 40.27)	26.95 (24.32, 29.59)	10.50 (<0.001)	24.46 (20.16, 28.76)	23.12 (18.27, 27.97)	0.04 (0.965)	43.27 (40.62, 45.91)	37.36 (34.36, 40.36)	6.66 (<0.001)	7.97 (4.96, 10.98)	5.10 (2.63, 7.56)	1.55 (0.119)
Rural	54.69 (52.18, 57.2)	42.72 (40.56, 44.88)	11.64 (<0.001)	25.26 (20.44, 30.07)	29.07 (24.13, 34.02)	0.20 (0.839)	44.27 (41.71, 46.83)	38.01 (35.87, 40.15)	9.91 (<0.001)	9.55 (6.16, 12.95)	10.77 (6.54, 15.01)	1.72 (0.084)
Wealth index												
Poor	59.13 (56.46, 61.81)	45.89 (43.12, 48.66)	8.87 (<0.001)	28.87 (21.91, 35.83)	26.20 (20.50, 31.89)	0.49	45.09 (41.81, 48.36)	37.05 (33.99, 40.12)	5.85 (<0.001)	9.79 (5.30, 14.28)	11.6 (6.57, 16.64)	0.28 (0.778)
Middle	49.05 (46.12, 51.97)	35.94 (33.63, 38.25)	9.7 (<0.001)	24.97 (20.32, 29.61)	29.87 (23.94, 35.81)	0.38	43.10 (40.20, 45.99)	36.94 (34.35, 39.52)	8.59 (<0.001)	8.51 (4.80, 12.22)	6.85 (2.88, 10.83)	1.57 (0.115)
Rich	32.29 (29.52, 35.06)	29.42 (26.04, 32.8)	1.91 (0.061)	20.01 (14.87, 25.16)	22.61 (16.32, 28.91)	0.87	43.71 (40.54, 46.89)	40.03 (37.00, 43.07)	0.90 (0.251)	8.31 (4.23, 12.38)	8.56 (2.39, 14.72)	1.63 (0.101)
Knowledge of smoking causes	ng causes											
Stroke	48.08 (45.53, 50.63)	35.47 (33.70, 37.24)	10.90 (<0.001)	22.11 (18.16, 26.07)	28.05 (23.84, 32.26)	1.35 (0.175)	46.47 (43.98, 48.97)	38.92 (36.81, 41.02)	9.43 (<0.001)	7.41 (4.68, 10.14)	7.40 (4.84, 9.95)	1.05 (0.291)
Heart attack	48.13 (45.88, 50.39)	35.03 (33.34, 36.73)	12.42 (<0.001)	23.08 (19.68, 26.49)	26.91 (23.04, 30.78)	1.20 (0.230)	45.05 (42.81, 47.28)	38.52 (36.53, 40.51)	9.68 (<0.001)	7.95 (5.42, 10.47)	8.61 (5.55, 11.68)	0.88
Lung cancer	49.33 (47.23, 51.42)	37.31 (35.55, 39.06)	14.01 (<0.001)	23.91 (20.66, 27.16)	26.99 (23.32, 30.67)	0.39 (0.695)	44.56 (42.54, 46.58)	38.05 (36.26, 39.85)	11.62 (<0.001)	8.71 (6.31, 11.11)	8.76 (5.89, 11.63)	1.58 (0.114)
Serious illness	49.58 (47.53, 51.62)	37.59 (35.81, 39.37)	13.64 (<0.001)	24.25 (21.02, 27.47)	26.97 (23.22, 30.72)	0.14 (0.889)	44.14 (42.15, 46.13)	37.70 (35.91, 39.48)	11.81 (<0.001)	8.55 (6.21, 10.88)	8.66 (5.74, 11.59)	1.53 (0.126)
Knowledge/believe that SHS causes	hat SHS cause	S										
Serious illness in adults	49.48 (47.37, 51.58)	36.93 (35.16, 38.71)	14.01 (<0.001)	24.18 (20.86, 27.51)	27.6 (23.85, 31.35)	-0.71 (0.478)	45.03 (42.98, 47.08)	38.08 (36.31, 39.85)	12.16 (<0.003)	8.47 (6.17, 10.78)	8.54 (5.62, 11.47)	1.91 (0.071)
Serious illness in child	NA A	37.08 (35.32, 38.83)		NA	27.25 (23.60, 30.89)		NA	38.13 (36.35, 39.91)		NA	8.26 (-1.67, 38.61)	
Note: Prevalence is computed in percentage. SHS, second-hand smoke; CI, confidence intervals; Govt., government; Pvt., private	nputed in perce	ntage. SHS, sc	econd-hand s	moke; CI, cor	ifidence interv	als; Govt., g	overnment; P	vt., private				

Table II. Prev	Table II. Prevalence of exposure to SHS at home, workplace, public places, and a combination of three places among non-smoking youth (25-29 yr) in India Workplace Workplace Workplace	Secure to SHS Home	at home, w	orkplace, put	lic places, an Workplace	nd a combin	ation of three	e places amon	g non-smok	ing youth (25 Al	25-29 yr) in Ind All three places	ia
	GATSI	GATS II	N	GATSI	GATS II	N	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATSI	GATS II	N
	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)
India (N)	8,081	8,259		1,765	1,813		5,673	5,716		1,538	1,532	
Gender												
Male	45.81 (42.64, 48.97)	31.00 (28.32, 33.68)	9.28 (<0.001)	31.82 (27.37, 36.27)	30.89 (26.69, 35.09)	0.42 (0.671)	52.40 (49.06, 55.74)	47.46 (44.36, 50.56)	1.95 (0.062)	12.99 (9.23, 16.74)	8.03 (5.50, 10.57)	0.88
Female	51.89 (49.15, 54.62)	38.40 (36.12, 40.67)	7.62 (<0.001)	17.70 (12.35, 23.05)	16.51 (10.77, 22.25)	1.01 (0.313)	31.15 (28.27, 34.02)	24.80 (22.42, 27.18)	5.88 (<0.001)	3.78 (1.44, 6.12)	2.44 (0.56, 4.32)	1.44 (0.064)
Level of education												
No formal education	61.50 (57.31, 65.69)	51.28 (47.09, 55.47)	3.59 (<0.001)	35.18 (21.44, 48.92)	36.77 (25.37, 48.17)	0.79 (0.426)	37.21 (31.85, 42.56)	33.06 (27.65, 38.47)	1.76 (0.077)	9.40 (0.84, 17.96)	14.31 (3.32, 25.3)	0.01
Less than primary	50.96 (45.35, 56.57)	44.07 (39.13, 49.01)	1.85 (0.073)	27.83 (15.02, 40.64)	49.88 (35.63, 64.13)	1.69	37.49 (31.41, 43.56)	35.29 (28.67, 41.91)	0.76 (0.447)	9.35 (-0.79, 19.5)	22.52 (6.97, 38.08)	1.25 (0.208)
Primary but less than secondary	54.24 (50.64, 57.84)	37.72 (34.80, 40.64)	7.50 (<0.001)	39.43 (31.66, 47.20)	34.31 (26.15, 42.46)	0.91 (0.358)	44.67 (40.43, 48.91)	37.69 (33.66, 41.71)	1.66 (0.071)	19.25 (11.38, 27.13)	7.79 (3.91, 11.06)	2.00 (0.045)
Secondary and above	35.32 (31.81, 38.83)	26.20 (23.73, 28.67)	6.62 (<0.001)	23.07 (18.67, 27.48)	22.48 (18.45, 26.50)	0.24 (0.808)	43.39 (41.09, 46.68)	37.43 (34.55, 40.31)	4.63 (<0.001)	7.88 (4.88, 10.88)	4.87 (2.50, 7.24)	1.56 (0.117)
Employment												
Govt./Pvt. employees	44.72 (40.97, 48.47)	31.43 (28.66, 34.20)	6.00 (<0.001)	25.5 (20.78, 30.22)	25.39 (21.19, 29.58)	0.77	45.29 (41.33, 49.26)	42.09 (38.67, 45.52)	1.37 (0.077)	10.35 (6.38, 14.32)	5.64 (3.56, 7.73)	1.54 (0.122)
Self employed	53.60 (49.87, 57.32)	37.56 (33.29, 41.84)	7.04 (<0.001)	32.61 (26.22, 39.00)	34.94 (27.39, 42.48)	0.13 (0.895)	46.80 (42.50, 51.09)	45.53 (40.75, 50.31)	1.05 (0.291)	12.43 (7.31, 17.55)	9.29 (4.50, 14.09)	0.78 (0.433)
Student	31.66 (21.62, 41.69)	18.95 (12.89, 25.01)	1.00 (0.316)	14.14 (-0.94, 29.22)	35.64 (15.13, 56.16)	1.89	49.63 (38.58, 60.67)	39.69 (29.70, 49.68)	1.07 (0.067)	2.90 (-1.76, 7.57)	10.98 (-1.27, 23.23)	1.31 (0.188)
Unemployed	50.15 (47.03, 53.28)	38.46 (35.87, 41.05)	6.06 (<0.001)	42.01 (24.50, 59.51)	39.90 (19.22, 60.57)	0.41 (0.679)	34.28 (30.69, 37.88)	25.28 (22.53, 28.03)	5.51 (<0.001)	9.59 (-1.01, 20.18)	22.92 (-3.99, 49.84)	0.89
												Contd

		Home			Workplace		P	Public places		Al	All three places	
	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATS I	GATS II	Z	GATS I	GATS II	Z
	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence (95% CI)	(P value)
Place of residence												
Urban	34.91 (31.85, 37.96)	24.41 (21.81, 27.01)	7.93 (<0.001)	27.34 (22.72, 31.95)	25.39 (20.39, 30.39)	0.84 (0.397)	41.98 (39.57, 45.38)	35.75 (32.28, 39.21)	2.73 (0.006)	7.47 (4.66, 10.27)	5.89 (2.89, 8.90)	0.87
Rural	55.33 (52.46, 58.19)	40.69 (38.47, 42.91)	9.83 (<0.001)	29.67 (23.96, 35.38)	30.39 (25.37, 35.41)	0.52 (0.597)	42.14 (39.16, 45.12)	37.48 (34.99, 39.00)	5.69 (<0.001)	14.57 (9.18, 19.95)	8.02 (5.09, 10.96)	1.11 (0.084)
Wealth index												
Poor	60.79 (57.58, 64.00)	48.65 (45.38, 51.92)	5.13 (<0.001)	36.28 (27.13, 45.43)	35.54 (28.18, 42.90)	0.69	40.39 (36.31, 44.47)	37.33 (33.35, 41.30)	1.43 (0.064)	17.35 (8.38, 26.33)	11.39 (5.61, 17.17)	1.21 (0.066)
Middle	46.16 (42.92, 49.41)	31.47 (28.95, 33.99)	9.52 (<0.001)	26.99 (21.67, 32.31)	28.04 (22.18, 33.90)	0.63 (0.523)	43.84 (40.29, 47.40)	36.72 (33.49, 39.95)	4.76 (<0.001)	11.01 (6.78, 15.24)	6.65 (3.22, 10.08)	1.22 (0.219)
Rich	33.28 (29.61, 36.96)	24.66 (21.74, 27.59)	5.45 (<0.001)	25.95 (20.12, 31.79)	23.56 (18.29, 28.83)	0.43	41.74 (37.89, 45.59)	36.56 (32.99, 40.13)	1.93 (0.063)	7.83 (3.47, 12.19)	5.20 (2.66, 7.73)	0.43
Knowledge of smoking causes	ng causes											
Stroke	48.37 (45.51, 51.23)	32.68 (30.63, 34.74)	8.99 (<0.001)	28.77 (23.72, 33.83)	28.25 (23.93, 32.56)	0.68 (0.491)	44.55 (41.46, 47.64)	38.29 (35.82, 40.76)	3.46 (<0.001)	11.69 (7.55, 15.83)	6.28 (4.13, 8.42)	1.39 (0.164)
Heart attack	46.88 (44.24, 49.51)	32.74 (30.86, 34.63)	10.47 (<0.001)	27.11 (22.92, 31.30)	27.80 (23.86, 31.74)	0.54 (0.586)	42.66 (39.89, 45.43)	38.23 (35.96, 39.49)	4.30 (0.001)	9.74 (6.36, 13.13)	6.52 (4.46, 8.59)	1.16 (0.244)
Lung cancer	48.10 (45.75, 50.46)	34.69 (32.91, 36.47)	10.79 (<0.001)	28.35 (24.53, 32.16)	27.99 (24.35, 31.63)	0.19 (0.844)	42.72 (40.33, 45.12)	37.14 (35.07, 39.22)	6.14 (<0.001)	11.21 (8.06, 14.36)	7.07 (4.92, 9.23)	1.79 (0.072)
Serious illness	48.60 (46.27, 50.93)	34.66 (32.86, 36.46)	11.27 (<0.001)	28.43 (24.66, 32.21)	28.44 (24.72, 32.16)	0.38	42.76 (40.40, 45.12)	36.64 (34.58, 38.71)	6.22 (<0.001)	11.04 (7.94, 14.14)	7.24 (5.04, 9.44)	1.69
Knowledge that SHS causes	causes											
Serious illness in adults	48.20 (45.77, 50.64)	34.37 (32.59, 36.16)	11.24 (<0.001)	28.06 (24.22, 31.91)	27.91 (24.21, 31.60)	-0.23 (0.817)	44.07 (41.65, 46.49)	36.87 (34.78, 38.96)	6.62 (<0.001)	11.4 (8.2, 14.6)	7.26 (5.09, 9.43)	1.78 (0.075)
Serious illness in child	NA	34.43 (32.65, 36.2)		NA	28.03 (24.32, 31.74)		NA	37.08 (35.01, 39.15)		NA	7.21 (5.06, 9.36)	
Note: Prevalence is computed in percentage	nputed in perce	ntage										

	Table III.	Factors affecti	ng SHS exposi	are among non	-smoking yout	th (15-24 yr) ir	India	
	Но	ome	Work	place	Public	places	All thro	ee places
	GATS I	GATS II						
	PR (95% CI)	PR (95% CI)						
Gender								
Male ®								
Female	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	1.15 (1.09, 1.21)	0.84 (0.70, 1.00)	0.68 (0.55, 0.84)	0.74 (0.7, 0.78)	0.69 (0.65, 0.74)	0.82 (0.56, 1.20)	0.44 (0.24, 0.82)
Level of education								
No formal educat	tion ®							
Less than primary	0.93 (0.87, 1.00)	1.01 (0.91, 1.12)	0.94 (0.68, 1.30)	1.14 (0.76, 1.73)	0.93 (0.81, 1.06)	1.02 (0.85, 1.24)	0.75 (0.36, 1.55)	0.86 (0.36, 2.05)
Primary but less than secondary	0.93 (0.88, 0.98)	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)	0.74 (0.56, 0.97)	0.98 (0.70, 1.36)	0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.96 (0.83, 1.12)	0.61 (0.33, 1.11)	0.80 (0.42, 1.53)
Secondary and above	0.73 (0.68, 0.78)	0.75 (0.69, 0.81)	0.54 (0.40, 0.72)	0.69 (0.49, 0.97)	0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.94 (0.81, 1.09)	0.44 (0.23, 0.82)	0.35 (0.17, 0.70)
Employment								
Govt./Pvt. emplo	yees ®							
Self employed	1.16 (1.08, 1.24)	1.09 (1.01, 1.19)	1.33 (1.11, 1.59)	0.95 (0.77, 1.17)	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	1.06 (0.96, 1.18)	1.08 (0.76, 1.54)	0.76 (0.45, 1.30)
Student	1.12 (1.05, 1.19)	0.99 (0.93, 1.07)	0.87 (0.69, 1.11)	0.66 (0.52, 0.83)	1.02 (0.95, 1.09)	0.97 (0.89, 1.04)	0.66 (0.42, 1.06)	0.81 (0.49, 1.35)
Unemployed	1.15 (1.08, 1.23)	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)	1.38 (0.98, 1.93)	0.86 (0.59, 1.25)	0.90 (0.82, 0.98)	0.94 (0.85, 1.04)	1.40 (0.71, 2.72)	1.02 (0.42, 2.45)
Place of residence								
Urban ®								
Rural	1.21 (1.16, 1.26)	1.35 (1.28, 1.43)	1.18 (1.00, 1.40)	1.17 (0.98, 1.40)	1.10 (1.05, 1.16)	1.07 (1.00, 1.14)	1.63 (1.17, 2.28)	1.48 (0.98, 2.23)
Wealth index								
Poor ®								
Middle	0.92 (0.88, 0.96)	0.93 (0.88, 0.97)	0.99 (0.82, 1.20)	0.99 (0.81, 1.20)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)	0.98 (0.91, 1.06)	0.86 (0.57, 1.29)	0.68 (0.44, 1.06)
Rich	0.76 (0.72, 0.80)	0.83 (0.78, 0.89)	0.99 (0.79, 1.24)	1.11 (0.87, 1.41)	1.03 (0.95, 1.10)	1.09 (1.00, 1.19)	1.25 (0.81, 1.95)	1.11 (0.66, 1.86)
Knowledge of smok	cing causes							
Stroke								
No ®								
Yes	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.99 (0.95, 1.05)	0.99 (0.83, 1.21)	1.14 (0.92, 1.41)	1.04 (0.98, 1.10)	1.03 (0.95, 1.10)	0.97 (0.65, 1.44)	0.99 (0.65, 1.52)
Heart attack No ®								
Yes	0.97 (0.93, 1.02)	0.94 (0.88, 1.00)	0.91 (0.73, 1.14)	1.12 (0.85, 1.46)	0.96 (0.90, 1.02)	1.03 (0.94, 1.13)	0.73 (0.47, 1.14)	1.17 (0.69, 1.99)
								Contd

	Но	me	Work	place	Public	Places	All thre	ee Places
	GATS I	GATS II						
	PR (95% CI)	PR (95% CI)						
Lung cancer								
No ®								
Yes	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	1.02 (0.92, 1.13)	1.12 (0.76, 1.64)	1.18 (0.65, 2.11)	1.04 (0.92, 1.19)	1.12 (0.92, 1.37)	1.09 (0.46, 2.58)	NA
Serious illness								
No ®								
Yes	1.13 (1.02, 1.24)	1.20 (1.09, 1.32)	1.07 (0.70, 1.64)	0.80 (0.58, 1.11)	0.97 (0.83, 1.13)	0.91 (0.80, 1.03)	0.86 (0.34, 2.17)	1.96 (0.65, 5.87)
Knowledge that SH	IS causes							
Serious illness in	adults							
No ®								
Yes	1.07 (1.01, 1.14)	0.97 (0.86, 1.09)	0.96 (0.73, 1.27)	1.27 (0.74, 2.18)	1.27 (1.14, 1.41)	1.05 (0.86, 1.29)	1.14 (0.6, 2.2)	1.5 (0.49, 4.63)
Serious illness in	children							
No ®								
Yes	NA	0.97 (0.85, 1.09)	NA	1.48 (0.79, 2.77)	NA	1.16 (0.92, 1.46)	NA	0.58 (0.21, 1.61)
Constant	0.41 (0.37, 0.46)	0.33 (0.29, 0.38)	0.32 (0.20, 0.51)	0.16 (0.07, 0.34)	0.41 (0.35, 0.49)	0.32 (0.24, 0.42)	0.17 (0.07, 0.43)	0.01 (0.00, 0.02)
PR, prevalence ratio;	®, reference car	tegory						

	Table IV. Fa	actors affecting	SHS exposur	e among non-s	moking youth	(25-29 yr) in l	India	
	Но	me	Work	place	Public	places	All thre	e places
	GATS I	GATS II						
	PR (95% CI)							
Gender								
Male ®								
Female	1.09 (1.02, 1.15)	1.17 (1.09, 1.27)	0.70 (0.58, 0.86)	0.60 (0.49, 0.75)	0.70 (0.64, 0.76)	0.64 (0.58, 0.71)	0.96 (0.67, 1.37)	0.53 (0.31, 0.90)
Level of education								
No formal education	on ®							
Less than primary	0.95 (0.88, 1.03)	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	1.04 (0.69, 1.55)	1.20 (0.86, 1.66)	0.99 (0.85, 1.15)	1.03 (0.87, 1.22)	0.76 (0.30, 1.91)	1.16 (0.55, 2.45)
Primary but less than secondary	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)	0.95 (0.88, 1.02)	1.05 (0.75, 1.45)	0.84 (0.64, 1.11)	1.01 (0.90, 1.14)	0.96 (0.84, 1.10)	1.25 (0.62, 2.53)	0.65 (0.33, 1.29)
Secondary and above	0.77 (0.71, 0.82)	0.73 (0.67, 0.80)	0.76 (0.54, 1.07)	0.61 (0.45, 0.81)	1.05 (0.93, 1.19)	0.97 (0.84, 1.11)	0.82 (0.39, 1.73)	0.38 (0.18, 0.77)
Employment								
Govt./Pvt. employe	ees ®							
Self employed	1.14 (1.06, 1.22)	1.18 (1.09, 1.28)	1.38 (1.16, 1.63)	1.41 (1.19, 1.67)	1.01 (0.93, 1.1)	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	1.32 (0.93, 1.89)	1.68 (1.11, 2.55)
								Contd

	Но	ome	Work	place	Public	places	All thre	e places
	GATS I	GATS II						
	PR (95%							
	CI)							
Student	0.98 (0.84, 1.14)	1.23 (1.04, 1.45)	0.61 (0.29, 1.31)	1.35 (0.79, 2.30)	1.09 (0.95, 1.25)	0.96 (0.81, 1.14)	0.58 (0.15, 2.30)	2.48 (0.98, 6.28)
Unemployed	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)	1.16 (1.07, 1.24)	1.15 (0.79, 1.67)	1.14 (0.75, 1.74)	0.98 (0.89, 1.08)	0.92 (0.82, 1.02)	0.72 (0.29, 1.75)	1.77 (0.72, 4.36)
Place of residence								
Urban ®								
Rural	1.23 (1.17, 1.30)	1.29 (1.2, 1.38)	1.10 (0.93, 1.31)	1.13 (0.94, 1.36)	1.18 (1.10, 1.26)	1.08 (0.99, 1.17)	1.68 (1.17, 2.43)	1.45 (0.95, 2.20)
Wealth index								
Poor ®								
Middle	0.91 (0.86, 0.96)	0.81 (0.76, 0.86)	0.94 (0.75, 1.18)	0.86 (0.70, 1.05)	1.03 (0.94, 1.12)	0.96 (0.88, 1.06)	0.65 (0.43, 0.98)	0.99 (0.61, 1.59)
Rich	0.78 (0.73, 0.84)	0.75 (0.69, 0.82)	0.91 (0.70, 1.18)	0.87 (0.68, 1.11)	1.03 (0.93, 1.14)	1.05 (0.94, 1.17)	0.57 (0.34, 0.96)	1.18 (0.66, 2.09)
Knowledge of smoki	ng causes							
Stroke								
No ®								
Yes	1.01 (0.95, 1.06)	0.99 (0.93, 1.07)	1.01 (0.83, 1.23)	1.04 (0.84, 1.29)	0.97 (0.90, 1.05)	1.08 (0.98, 1.20)	1.15 (0.79, 1.66)	0.85 (0.49, 1.47)
Heart attack								
No ®								
Yes	0.99 (0.94, 1.06)	0.93 (0.86, 1.00)	0.93 (0.74, 1.17)	1.01 (0.78, 1.29)	0.96 (0.88, 1.04)	1.06 (0.93, 1.19)	0.78 (0.51, 1.21)	1.26 (0.64, 2.46)
Lung cancer								
No ®								
Yes	0.97 (0.89, 1.06)	1.17 (1.03, 1.32)	0.93 (0.62, 1.39)	0.89 (0.57, 1.4)	1.10 (0.93, 1.3)	1.08 (0.86, 1.35)	1.5 (0.65, 3.46)	1.49 (0.36, 6.19)
Serious illness								
No ®								
Yes	1.14 (1.02, 1.27)	1.06 (0.95, 1.19)	1.18 (0.73, 1.93)	1.30 (0.91, 1.87)	1.03 (0.84, 1.27)	0.94 (0.8, 1.1)	0.97 (0.35, 2.67)	1.44 (0.55, 3.78)
Knowledge that SHS	causes							
Serious illness in a	ıdults							
No ®								
Yes	1.04 (0.97, 1.11)	1.06 (0.92, 1.23)	0.90 (0.68, 1.20)	0.83 (0.55, 1.25)	1.30 (1.14, 1.49)	1.01 (0.8, 1.28)	1.40 (0.71, 2.76)	1.92 (0.56, 6.55)
Serious illness in c	hildren							
No ®								
Yes	NA	0.86 (0.73, 1)	NA	1.14 (0.64, 2.05)	NA	1.12 (0.84, 1.49)	NA	1.44 (0.21, 10.09)
Constant	0.41 (0.36, 0.46)	0.34 (0.29, 0.39)	0.30 (0.18, 0.48)	0.32 (0.18, 0.57)	0.30 (0.25, 0.37)	0.34 (0.25, 0.46)	0.06 (0.02, 0.20)	0.02 (0.00, 0.17)

than among government employees. Despite a decline in SHS exposure overall, increased exposure at work may persist due to weak enforcement of smoke-free regulations, non-compliance, poor workplace design, cultural norms, and limited policy scope³⁸.

The study findings show that rural respondents showed a higher likelihood of increased SHS exposure (at home and in public places). This result was concurrent with the findings from studies in China, India, and other South Asian countries^{29,39-42}. The higher prevalence in rural areas may be linked to low levels of education, economic status, and knowledge regarding the ill effects of SHS exposure⁴³. These findings call for strict enforcement of the existing COTPA laws in India, creating mass awareness, and implementing anti-tobacco campaigns *via* community-led interventions targeting youths.

Respondents with secondary or higher education and those from middle or wealthy households had lower SHS exposure at home and in the workplace. On a similar line, Nazar *et al*⁴⁴ (2016) found that individuals with lower education faced higher SHS exposure at home. Similar to the study findings, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2013–16) reported lower SHS exposure among youth from higher-income families⁴⁵. Over 30 per cent of the working population across regions were exposed to SHS at work. In India, regional variations indicate that 36.9 per cent of the Northern region and 20.2 per cent of the Central region reported workplace SHS exposure⁴⁶.

Interventions to monitor and reduce SHS exposure are urgently needed. To safeguard non-smokers, particularly youths, the WHO developed a global action strategy under FCTC Article 8, mandating the elimination of tobacco smoke from all indoor workplaces and public spaces⁴⁷. In line with the WHO FCTC standards, India also formulated the COTPA, under which, in Section 4, smoking in public areas is forbidden, among other steps to reduce tobacco consumption²¹. According to a 2019 report, around 60-70 per cent of public places became smoke-free in India⁴⁸. However, the law enables specified smoking areas in public places like restaurants or workplaces with a minor fine for violations. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, has initiated a national campaign featuring a 30-second Public Service Announcement titled 'Clinical' to highlight the severe health risks associated with SHS exposure⁴⁹. Despite regulations, the Government of India faces challenges in enforcing tobacco control uniformly across the States, leading to increased tobacco use and SHS exposure. Targeted education, community

interventions, smoke-free housing policies, and cessation resources are crucial to prevent smoking at home, especially in low-income households. Reducing SHS exposure at home requires more than banning smoking in public spaces.

This study has a few limitations. The GATS I and II datasets were cross-sectional, limiting the ability to analyse temporal relationships and associations. Additionally, the focus on core sociodemographic factors excluded variables like marital status and household composition to keep the analysis concise. Future research could include these factors for a more comprehensive understanding of SHS exposure among non-smoking youths. As measured by self-reporting, SHS exposure may not accurately reflect actual exposure. Finally, as a secondary analysis, the study could not assess the reasons behind the limited knowledge of SHS health risks.

Overall, the study provides a broad understanding of the variations in the prevalence of SHS exposure among non-smoking youth between the two rounds of GATS, India (2009-10 to 2016-17). Between the rounds of the GATS, India, SHS exposure had reduced at home and in public places but increased at the workplace among non-smoking youths. Factors such as female gender (workplace and public place), secondary level or higher education in all four cases (at home, workplaces, public places, and in all three places), and belonging to the middle or rich wealth index households (home) showed a lower risk of SHS exposure for both rounds of the survey. However, rural residence (home and public places) in GATS I and self-employed (home and workplace) for both rounds showed a higher risk of SHS exposure. A plethora of initiatives and investments at the national and sub-national level on tobacco control, including smoke-free environment policies, are being implemented. However, the study findings reflect an increase in SHS exposure at the workplace among non-smoking youths with significant variation in the SHS exposure at home, workplace, and public places by the sociodemographic factors. The study findings, hence, must be used to develop multisectoral and targeted community-based interventions in India, along with stringent implementation of antitobacco legislation in protecting youths who do not smoke from SHS exposure and smoking initiation.

Financial support & sponsorship: Bloomberg Initiative to Reduce Tobacco Use through the CDC Foundation with a support from Bloomberg Philanthropies.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Assisted Technology for manuscript preparation: The authors confirm that there was no use of AI-assisted technology for assisting in the writing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

References

- Economic Diplomacy Division. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. One of the youngest populations in the world-India's most valuable asset. Available from: https:// indbiz.gov.in/one-of-the-youngest-populations-in-the-worldindias-most-valuable-asset/, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- Zhang K, Tartarone A, Pérez-Ríos M, Novello S, Mariniello A, Roviello G, et al. Smoking burden, MPOWER, future tobacco control and real-world challenges in China: Reflections on the WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic 2021. Transl Lung Cancer Res 2022; 11:117-21.
- 3. Peacock A, Leung J, Larney S, Colledge S, Hickman M, Rehm J, *et al.* Global statistics on alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use: 2017 status report. *Addiction* 2018; *113* : 1905-26.
- 4. Chhabra A, Hussain S, Rashid S. Recent trends of tobacco use in India. *J Public Health (Berl.)* 2021; 29: 27-36.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Government of India. GATS-2 Global Adult Tobacco Survey: India 2016-2017 Factsheet. Available from: https://www.tiss.edu/uploads/files/ National FactsheeIndia.pdf, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- National Factsheet India. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Government of India. Global youth tobacco survey-4 2019. Available from: https://ntcp.nhp.gov.in/assets/document/ National_Fact_Sheet_of_fourth_round_of_Global_Youth_ Tobacco_Survey_GYTS-4.pdf, accessed on April 16, 2024.
- Merianos AL, Jandarov RA, Mahabee-Gittens EM. Adolescent tobacco smoke exposure, respiratory symptoms, and emergency department use. *Pediatrics* 2018; 142: e20180266.
- 8. Dinas PC, Metsios GS, Jamurtas AZ, Tzatzarakis MN, Wallace Hayes A, Koutedakis Y, *et al.* Acute effects of second-hand smoke on complete blood count. *Int J Environ Health Res* 2014; 24: 56-62.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking & Tobacco use. About Second-hand smoke. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/secondhand-smoke/index.html, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 10. American Lung Association. 9 of the worst diseases you can get from second-hand smoke. Available from: http://www.lung.org/ourinitiatives/tobacco/reports-resources/sotc/by-the-numbers/9-of-the-worstdiseases-you.html, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 11. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, *et al.* A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; *380*: 2224-60.
- World Health Organization. Tobacco. Key facts. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ tobacco, accessed on January 16, 2024.

- 13. Lee E, Kim KY. The Association between secondhand smoke and stress, depression, and suicidal ideation in adolescents. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2021; *9*: 39.
- 14. Woo BL, Lim MK, Park EY, Park J, Ryu H, Jung D, et al. Characteristics of non-smokers' exposure using indirect smoking indicators and time activity patterns. Sustainability 2020; 12: 9099.
- Bidari M, Gupta A, Kakade N. Changes in prevalence of childhood exposure to secondhand smoke in India: A secondary analysis of GATS survey (2009-2017). Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2024; 25: 3087-96.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). State smoking restrictions for private-sector worksites, restaurants, and bars--United States, 2004 and 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2008; 57: 549-52.
- Wortley PM, Caraballo RS, Pederson LL, Pechacek TF. Exposure to secondhand smoke in the workplace: Serum cotinine by occupation. *J Occup Environ Med* 2002; 44: 503-9.
- Fischer F, Kraemer A. Factors associated with secondhand smoke exposure in different settings: Results from the German Health Update (GEDA) 2012. BMC Public Health 2016; 16: 327.
- 19. Supehia S, Kapoor S, Lal P, Singh RJ, Dhankhar A. Secondhand smoke exposure prevalence among smokers versus nonsmokers and relative change at subnational level in India: a secondary analysis from global adult tobacco survey 1 and 2. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2021; 8: 2419-26.
- World Health Organization. Tobacco-free initiative. Available from: https://www.who.int/westernpacific/about/how-wework/programmes/tobacco-free-initiative, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 21. National Tobacco Control Programme. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Government of India. Cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products (Prohibition of Advertisement and Regulation of Trade and Commerce, Production, Supply and Distribution) Act, 2003 [COTPA, 2003] and related rules 2003. Available from: https://ntcp.mohfw.gov.in/assets/document/Acts-Rules-Regulations/COTPA-2003-English-Version.pdf, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 22. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Preventing tobacco use among youth and young adults: A report of the surgeon general. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (US) Office on Smoking and Health. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99237/, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- National Health Mission. Government of India. NTCP. Global Adult Tobacco Survey, India 2016-17. Available from: http://download.tiss.edu/Global_Adult_Tobacco_Survey2_ India 2016-17 June2018.pdf, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 24. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Government of India. Global Adult Tobacco Survey, India 2009-10. Available from: https://ntcp.nhp.gov.in/assets/document/surveys-reports-publications/Global-Adult-Tobacco-Survey-India-2009-2010-Report.pdf, accessed on January 16, 2024.

- 25. Chopra M, Gupta A, Kakade N, Arora M. Pattern of Second-Hand Smoke (SHS) Exposure among Non-smoking youth (15-24, 25-29 years) in India: A Secondary data analysis from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) I and II. Abstract presented at the SRNT Annual Conference 2023. Available from: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.srnt.org/resource/resmgr/conference/2023_annual_meeting/documents/SRNT23_Abstracts022423.pdf, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 26. Tripathy JP. Secondhand smoke exposure at home and public places among smokers and non-smokers in India: Findings from the global adult tobacco survey 2016-17. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2020; 27: 6033-41.
- 27. Lim KH, Lim HL, Teh CH, Kee CC, Heng PP, Cheah YK, Ghazali SM. Secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure at home and at the workplace among non-smokers in Malaysia: Findings from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey 2011. *Tob Induc Dis* 2018; 16:49.
- 28. Bhatt G, Goel S, Mor S, Gupta R. Exposure to secondhand smoke and its correlates in Northern State of India. *Indian J Public Health* 2018; 62: 128-32.
- 29. Reddy MM, Kanungo S, Kar SS. Correlates of secondhand smoke exposure among nonsmoking youth (15-24 years) in India: Secondary analysis from Global Adult Tobacco Survey, 2009-10. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2018; 7: 111-7.
- 30. Yang L, Wu H, Zhao M, Magnussen CG, Xi B. Prevalence and trends in tobacco use, secondhand smoke exposure at home and household solid fuel use among women in 57 low- and middle-income countries, 2000-2018. Environ Int 2022; 161: 107142.
- 31. Passey ME, Longman JM, Robinson J, Wiggers J, Jones LL. Smoke-free homes: What are the barriers, motivators and enablers? A qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis. *BMJ Open* 2016; 6: e010260.
- 32. World Health Organization. *Making cities smoke free*. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44773/9789241502832_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y, accessed on January 16, 2024.
- 33. Bhaumik S. Ban on smoking in workplaces in India has led to more smoke free homes. *BMJ* 2013; *346*: f2186.
- 34. Lee JT, Agrawal S, Basu S, Glantz SA, Millett C. Association between smoke-free workplace and second-hand smoke exposure at home in India. *Tob Control* 2014; *23*: 308-12.
- 35. Boderie NW, Sheikh A, Lo E, Sheikh A, Burdorf A, van Lenthe FJ, *et al.* Public support for smoke-free policies in outdoor areas and (semi)private places: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *E Clinical Medicine* 2023; *59*: 101982.
- 36. Gupta A, Bansal A, Dixit P, Kumar KA. The crossroads of work and home: Linkages between smoke-free policies at work and household environments. *BMC Public Health* 2024; 24: 1127.
- 37. Lim KH, Lim HL, Teh CH, Kee CC, Heng PP, Cheah YK, et al. Secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure at home and at the

- workplace among non-smokers in Malaysia: Findings from the global adult tobacco survey 2011. *Tob Induc Dis* 2018; 16:49.
- 38. Su CP, Syamlal G, Tamers S, Li J, Luckhaupt SE. Workplace secondhand tobacco smoke exposure among U.S. nonsmoking workers, 2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2019; 68: 604-7.
- Yao T, Sung HY, Mao Z, Hu TW, Max W. Second-hand smoke exposure at home in rural China. *Cancer Causes Control* 2012; 1: 109-15.
- Sein AA, Than Htike MM, Sinha DN, Kyaing NN. Exposure to second-hand tobacco smoke among adults in Myanmar. *Indian J Cancer* 2012; 49: 410-8.
- 41. Abdullah AS, Driezen P, Sansone G, Nargis N, Hussain GA, Quah AC, et al. Correlates of exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) at home among non-smoking adults in Bangladesh: Findings from the ITC Bangladesh survey. BMC Pulm Med 2014; 14: 117.
- 42. Gu D, Wu X, Reynolds K, Duan X, Xin X, Reynolds RF, et al. Cigarette smoking and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in China: The international collaborative study of cardiovascular disease in Asia. Am J Public Health 2004; 94: 1972-6.
- 43. Hajizadeh M, Nandi A. The socioeconomic gradient of secondhand smoke exposure in children: Evidence from 26 low-income and middle-income countries. *Tob Control* 2016; 25: e146-55.
- 44. Nazar GP, Lee JT, Arora M, Millett C. Socioeconomic inequalities in secondhand smoke exposure at home and at work in 15 low- and middle-income countries. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2016; 18: 1230-9.
- 45. U.S. Department of Health And Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. Second-hand smoke exposure among nonsmoking youth: United States, 2013-2016. Available from: https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db348-h.pdf, accessed on January 10, 2024.
- 46. Singh A, Yadav DK, Anilkumar S, Yadav N, Chakraborty A. Regional disparity in tobacco usage and second-hand smoking practices among adults: Evidence-based study in India. In: Miyan M, Singh R, Singh AK, Yadav DK, editors. MKSES Publisher 2021; 15-33.
- World Health Organization. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Available from: https://www.who.int/ tobacco/framework/WHO_FCTC_english.pdf, accessed on January 10, 2024.
- 48. World Health Organization. Health promotion. WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic 2019: Offer help to quit tobacco use. Available from: https://www.who.int/tobacco/global_report/en/, accessed on January 10, 2024.
- 49. Vital Strategies. India launches campaign to warn against dangers of second-hand smoke. Available from: https://www.vitalstrategies.org/india-launches-campaign-warn-dangers-second-hand-smoke/, accessed on January 10, 2024.